Red flag features

**Headache**
40% posterior circulation stroke

**Gait ataxia**
May be only non-vertiginous manifestation of cerebellar stroke

**Hyperacute onset**
Suggests vascular origin

**Vertigo and hearing loss** AICA or urgent ENT problem

**Prolonged symptoms (greater than 4 days)**
Floor of fourth ventricle problem
History taking – tips in acute dizziness

Make sure that both you and the patient are speaking the same language

Do they really mean dizziness?

Define the symptoms to the patient and then ask them if that is what they really mean.

– Dizziness: An illusion of self-motion when in fact one is stationary. (Hence, dizziness is thus also present without vision.)
– Oscillopsia: An illusion of visual world motion when in fact the world is stationary either in absolute terms or relative to the observer. (Oscillopsia can thus only occur when vision of the environment is available.) Oscillopsia is sometimes confused with dizziness although dizziness and oscillopsia may occur together.
– Oscillopsia is always due to a nystagmus.

Obtain a description of the dizziness symptoms:

– Rotatory: Horizontal ‘merry-go-round’ (synonymous with vertigo) or vertical plane ‘head over heels’.
– Rocking: ‘Like a boat’.
– Linear: Horizontal or vertical plane (including falling).
– If there is no illusory self-motion then there is no dizziness
– Elderly patients may lose the perception of dizziness so you need to screen for common causes of imbalance (postural hypotension, BPPV, migraine).
What exactly is the duration of acute attack?

- Subjective recall of time is highly variable, particularly at the lower end of the scale (i.e. seconds to minutes). Patients with BPPV may describe that their dizziness lasts ‘two minutes’. We suggest counting out aloud and asking the patient to say ‘stop’ when the recalled duration of intense spinning dizziness has abated. Time and again patients who describe their dizziness as lasting two minutes will say ‘stop’ after a count of 7 or 8 (compared to 120 seconds!).

Continuous or prolonged symptoms: Patients after an episode of BPPV can feel destabilised for minutes, hours or even an entire day. If the clinician is not careful they may obtain a history of prolonged dizziness and so lead one away from a BPPV diagnosis. The prolonged symptoms will not be violent spinning vertigo but something more non-specific. Hence it cannot be over-emphasised that the clinician must be descriptive in obtaining a patient’s dizzy symptoms; e.g. ‘What does it feel like? Spinning, rocking etc.’ and one will not confuse this continuous ‘dizziness’ with that of the acute vertiginous attack.

It is recommended that the GP screen for the three common causes of dizziness (postural hypotension, BPPV and migraine) and imbalance in all patients.

Background chronic symptoms

- Patients presenting with acute vertigo may have a long history of recurrent vertigo. Such patients may develop chronic and persisting symptoms apparently unrelated to acute vertiginous attacks. These symptoms are often situational (e.g. I feel unsteady in open spaces) and thus may lead the clinician to consider a functional disorder.

Such maladaptive symptoms, which are exacerbated by visual motion, are relatively common in patients with recurrent vertigo whatever the aetiology. Although usually induced dizziness symptoms do not point to any specific diagnosis, clinicians should be aware of them so that they are not lead astray.

Screen for the common causes of dizziness and refer if negative.
Continued from previous page

**Key questions**

1. What are the details of first episode of symptoms – whether patient felt light headed or if the surroundings were spinning?

2. Any other symptoms – hearing loss, tinnitus, nausea, vomiting or fullness in the ear?

3. How often did the symptoms occur and how long did they last?

4. Are the symptoms affecting daily activities – able to walk during an episode of vertigo?

5. Does anything trigger the symptoms or makes them worse – moving the head in a particular direction?

6. What makes the symptoms better?
Chronic vertigo

Causes

– Failure to treat underlying causes
– Inappropriate drugs used to treat dizziness
– Maladaptive response to untreated dizziness

First step
Look for underlying diagnosis: BPPV, migraine

Second step
Treat the underlying diagnosis

Third step
Refer to vestibular rehabilitation services
BPPV and red flags

– Post Hallpike manoeuvre nystagmus persists (usually lasts 5-15 second)

– BPPV that does not respond to repositioning manoeuvre
**Headache and dizziness**

**Recurrent**
Likely to be vestibular migraine

**First presentation** Particularly occipital headache, and onset vertigo with or without nystagmus – stroke must be excluded

**Red flags: headache & vertigo**

- Hyper acute onset vertigo
- First presentation headache
- Valsalva manoeuvre precipitates vertigo onset
- Gait ataxia
Vestibular migraine

- Episodic dizziness with at least some episodes associated with headache: photophobia, phonophobia
- With or without motion intolerance
- Complete recovery between episodes

Red flag for referra

- Not typical episodic pattern e.g. progressive pattern
- Current treatment not successful

Management

- Education patient of disorder – describing what it is
- Lifestyle advice: regular sleep, eating, avoiding caffeine, alcohol
- Dietary – little evidence
- Acute relief and prophylaxis (migraine drugs Propanolol, Pizotifen, Amitriptyline, Sodium Valproate, Topiramate)

Rehabilitation

- Consider for disequilibrium, head motion intolerance, visual motions intolerance. See Vestibular rehabilitation - but only once migraine is controlled by lifestyle, with or without drug therapy
Anxiety and dizziness

- Uncommon primary cause

- Look for underlying vestibular diagnosis and treat (Screen for postural hypotension, BPPV and migraine)

- Referral to balance clinic – services to treat vestibular and psychological components
Head injury

Common causes of dizziness and imbalance in head injury and concussion:

1. BPPV
2. Migraine
3. Vestibular nerve damage (e.g. fracture of petrous temporal bone)

In the acute setting (typically accompanied by hearing loss on that side) the commonest problem is a centrally-mediated gait ataxia. This can be helped with gait physiotherapy.

It is typical for head injury patients to have multiple causes of imbalance and dizziness in the same patient.
Meniere’s disease

– Uncommon cause of vertigo
– Episodic unilateral auditory symptoms: aural fullness, tinnitus and hearing loss with
– Episodes of vertigo: develops over minutes-hours, associated with vomiting and incapacitating.
– Early stages – complete recovery between episodes. Prognosis variable: for some patients it settles down quickly, others the hearing gets progressively worse, others vertigo gets worse.
– Initial diagnosis should be made in a balance clinic. It is uncommon and over diagnosed.

Should be referred for:
– Specialist diagnosis – other conditions can mimic Meniere’s.

Management:
– Medically – acute relief if symptoms infrequent
– Betahistine
– Diuretics
– Low salt diet
– Intratympanic - steroids
– Surgery
– Auditory rehabilitation – tinnitus and hearing loss

Rehabilitation:
– Early stages often get complete recovery so rehabilitation not so helpful
– Useful for patients who experience between attacks symptoms of disequilibrium, imbalance, head motion intolerance, visual motions intolerance
– Rehabilitation is most useful once there are no further acute attacks.
Referring chronic patients

– Screen: for BPPV, cardiac dysrhythmia using an ECG and postural hypotension and ask about migraine symptoms

– Chronic symptoms: head motion intolerance, visual motion intolerance

– Only refer to rehabilitation once a definitive diagnosis is established. The therapist's treatment plan varies according to the diagnosis. The therapist's role does not include making a diagnosis.
Drugs – Stemetil and Betahistine

Prochlorperazine (Stemetil) and Betahistine (Serc)

Prochlorperazine should only be used as a short course (2-3 days) for acute attacks. Chronic treatment with Prochlorperazine should never be used in dizzy patients. In this case refer to a balance clinic for a definitive diagnosis and treatment plan.

Primary care physicians should not start Betahistine without first consulting with a balance clinic. Betahistine is only indicated in Meniere's disease. Given that Meniere's disease is uncommon it is unlikely, but not impossible, that your patient has Meniere’s. Migraine is the more common diagnosis and can superficially mimic Meniere's.

Always refer patients who you think might have Meniere's disease. See Find Out More on page 1.
**Vestibular rehabilitation**

Exercises based on the understanding of vestibular physiology and mechanisms underlying compensation to induced brain plasticity to improve subjective symptoms of dizziness, postural induced, gait instability and visual induced dizziness.

**GP actions**
- GP should secure a diagnosis before referral
- Start any treatment e.g. drug prophylaxis for migraine

Ideally the rehabilitation service should provide an information leaflet explaining the following:
- Inform patient about vestibular rehabilitation (preparation and support):
  - Understand it is process, not a single set of exercises but a progression based on the individuals impairment.
  - Could initially feel worse before it gets better 1–10 days, notice improvements at about 3 weeks.
  - Could last 3-6 months.
- Not a linear progression progress does fluctuate.
- Outcomes excellent – 80% patients improve, return to work and recreational activities

**Factors affecting outcomes:**
- Compliance with programme – completing exercises
- Medication
- Anxiety or depression
- Consideration of Tai Chi/yoga – as an adjunct.
- Return to recreational activities gradually and ideally concurrently when able.
- Support for patients that feel dizzy but do not fall.
- Support for patients that fall. Falls clinics should have strong links with vestibular clinics and vestibular rehabilitation services

**Refer**
- BPPV treated but still experiencing maladaptive symptoms
- Vestibular neuritis, labyrinthitis. This diagnosis must be secured following an acute hospital referral or balance clinic assessment for late presentations.
- Vestibular migraine
- Meniere’s disease

**Refer**
- BPPV treated but still experiencing maladaptive symptoms
- Vestibular neuritis, labyrinthitis. This diagnosis must be secured following an acute hospital referral or balance clinic assessment for late presentations.
- Vestibular migraine
- Meniere’s disease
Myths

– Ear infections and vertigo
– Vestibular basal insufficiency
– Cervicogenic dizziness
– Recurrent labyrinthitis
**BPPV mechanism**

Commonest cause of vertigo
Crystals form in the semi-circular canals. Crystals are heavier (denser) than the endolymph and so sink under gravity following head movement. The movement of the crystal stimulates the receptors in the peripheral vestibular organ leading to a transient nystagmus, imbalance and a sensation of vertigo.

In the elderly, the perception of vertigo may be blunted and they may fall over without complaining of vertigo. 100% of patients with imbalance should be screened for BPPV, using the Hallpike manoeuvre.

Diagnosis - Hallpike
Treatment - Epley or Semont

Key question: ‘When you turn over in bed do you feel dizzy?’ Yes for BPPV but no for postural hypotension
Chronic vertigo causes

– Missed diagnosis of any vestibular condition
– BPPV
– Migraine

Incorrect treatment of vestibular conditions
(linked with missed or mis-diagnosis)
– chronic treatment with Prochlorperazine
– Betahistine for non-Meniere's disease diagnosis

Inappropriate use of exercises:
– Brandt Daroff exercises for non BPPV e.g. migraine (Brandt Daroff exercises are used for used for BPPV)
Cawthorne-Cooksey exercises for BPPV.
(Cawthorne-Cooksey exercises are used for vestibular rehabilitation)
Imbalance and red flag

– Acute onset imbalance or step change in balance function - stroke.
– For stroke assess tandem gait. Patient will fall to the side of the brain lesion
Dizziness vs imbalance

– Is it dizziness? Is there illusionary self-motion and/or illusionary environmental motion?
– Distinguish between dizziness (illusionary self-motion) and imbalance (a feeling that they might fall). Dizziness and imbalance can occur together or independently.
– Imbalance only occurs on standing
– Key question: ‘Do you feel dizzy when you turn over in bed?’ If yes could be BPPV but not postural hypotension.
Postural hypotension

Lie the patient down on the examination couch for five minutes. The patient's legs must be at the same level as their torso.

Measure the blood pressure with the patient lying on the couch.

Stand the patient up and measure the blood pressure at one minute and three minutes.

You can have the patient leaning against the edge of the couch to maintain their balance.

A drop in systolic blood pressure greater than or equal to 20mmHg is abnormal.

A drop of greater than or equal to 10mmHg for diastolic blood pressure is abnormal.
List of the videos

1 Rebalancing referral patterns
Common presentations GPs should diagnose and treat successfully – cardiovascular causes of recurrent dizziness, postural hypotension, BPPV and migraine. Aware of presentations should seek advice/refer – acute first onset vertigo: labyrinthitis, vestibular migraine and stroke). Aim to rebalance referral patterns between GP and specialist services.

2 Dizziness vs imbalance
Distinguishing between the two. The key question to ask.

3 Imbalance and red flag
Recent onset imbalance – change in their ability to walk. Elderly patients. Assessment of imbalance.

4 Dizziness intensity and the neurological anatomy of vertigo sensation
Intensity and diagnosis. Anatomy: ear, nerve, brain stem, cerebral cortex.

5 Tinnitus, hearing loss and aural fullness
Meniere’s disease, vestibular migraine and red flag – brain stem stroke. First acute vertigo with hearing loss should refer for specialist opinion.

6 Examination and tests
ECG, postural blood pressure, Hallpike.

7 Chronic vertigo
Untreated underlying causes, drugs, maladaptive responses and rehabilitation.

8 When to refer chronic patients
Rule out common causes. Refer. Treat cause before rehabilitation.

9 Causes of recurrent vertigo
Common causes: BPPV and migraine. Untreated or incorrect diagnosis leads to chronic condition. Apparent recurrent labyrinthitis.
Continued from previous page

10 BPPV mechanism
What causes it. Commonest cause of vertigo. Imbalance, nystagmus, perception. Treatment. The elderly. The key question to distinguish between BPPV and postural hypotension.

11 Epidemiology and causes of BPPV
All age groups. No prior event but some triggers: labyrinthitis and head injury.

12 When to refer BPPV and red flag
Atypical nystagmus, not responding to treatment. Difficulty in distinguishing between peripheral and central vestibular nystagmus.

13 Position testing and the elderly
Hallpike – see linked video. Adjustment to prevent twisting the neck.

14 Headache and dizziness
First presentation or recurrent. First acute presentation vertigo and headache – red flag refer in case it is a stroke.

15 Vestibular migraine
Vestibular symptoms, recurrent and episodic, manage in primary care, refer if migraine pattern differs from recurrent/episodic, not responding to treatment. Education of patient, lifestyle, analgesics, prophylaxis, prognosis and rehabilitation.

16 Meniere’s disease
Episodic, symptoms, recovery, prognosis. See drugs – Betahistine.

17 Anxiety as a cause of dizziness

18 Head injury
**22 Red flags**
Acute onset vertigo, gait ataxia, first headache and vertigo, hearing loss and vertigo.

**23 Myths**
Ear infection, vertebrobasilar insufficiency, cervicogenic dizziness, recurrent labyrinthitis.

---

**19 Dizziness and the elderly**
Not describe vertigo well, multiple causes. Tests and examinations.

**20 Drugs – Stemetil and Betahistine**
Correct diagnosis, not treat chronically with vestibular sedatives, side effects. Betahistine – use for Meniere’s disease.

**21 Vestibular rehabilitation**
What it is. Types of patent to refer. Treat symptoms of dizziness, need clear diagnosis and to have started treatment before referral, patient education, duration, outcomes, recreational activity.
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Chronic dizziness: a practical approach

A M Bronstein, T Lempert, B M Seemungal

Patients with chronic dizziness pose a particular challenge to the clinician, partly because their symptoms correlate poorly with standard vestibular tests; so a ‘test and think later’ approach is likely to lead to diagnostic confusion rather than clarity. Rather, a meticulous clinical assessment is required. Here our approach to the chronic dizzy patient is described with an emphasis on treating the patient’s symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

Bryan Matthews’ famous quote “There can be few physicians so dedicated to their art that they do not experience a slight decline in spirits on learning that their patient’s complaint is of giddiness” still rings true to many neurologists facing long term dizzy patients. We will try to dispel this prejudice.

Chronic dizziness is reported by 0.3% of the adult population and accounts for about 10% of new patients seen in a general neurology clinic. The neurologist is often called on after the chronic dizzy patient has already seen another specialist (eg, ear, nose and throat) and hence they will have had many investigations already, including scans, hearing and maybe even vestibular function tests. The question then becomes ‘what can the neurologist add to this situation?’ The answer should be an accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment despite multiple tests. This is because, as ever, an accurate history and examination is what is required. Specialist investigations come a distant second to the clinical assessment and only help to refine the diagnosis.

MAKING A START IN THE PATIENT WITH CHRONIC DIZZINESS

The first step is to define the current symptoms; here it is critical to establish exactly what the patient means by ‘dizziness’. Clarify if there is a sensation of self-motion, and if so its nature:

- Spinning, like a merry-go-round?
- Rocking, like-a-boat?
- Linear as in falling or thrusting?
- Tilting?
- Floating (more non-specific)?

Alternatively, there may be a feeling of disequilibrium rather than dizziness—that is, there is no problem with an abnormal self-motion sensation but rather patients feel unsteady on their feet or even as if they are walking on a mattress.

While the description of the current symptom is important, it is also important to know the evolution of the symptoms—that is, how the symptoms started and how they have changed? This evolution can follow one of three patterns (figure 1):

- the patient who started with one or more attacks of rotational vertigo
THE CHRONIC DIZZY PATIENT WITH A HISTORY OF ONE OR MORE ATTACKS OF VERTIGO

Patients in this category report one or more previous vertigo attacks:

- **Vestibular neuritis**: there will be a single but disabling attack of vertigo lasting for a few days.
- **Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV)**: multiple brief episodes (lasting seconds) of rotational vertigo on looking up or lying down and turning over in bed. Patients can have recurrent, undiagnosed and untreated BPPV for decades.
- **Migrainous vertigo**: recurrent attacks of vertigo lasting from minutes to a few days (usually hours). Look for typical accompanying migrainous features such as headache, photophobia, visual auras, etc. There are no interictal abnormalities.
- **Ménière's disease**: typical attacks of auditory distortion, auditory fullness, tinnitus and vertigo. There is eventual progressive unilateral audiovestibular failure.

WHY DO SOME PATIENTS NOT RECOVER FULLY AFTER ONE OR MORE EPISODES OF VERTIGO?

We suspect this is the consequence of incomplete vestibular compensation (box 1). Vestibular compensation can be most easily observed in the nystagmic response following a peripheral vestibular lesion (figure 2 shows horizontal eye movement recordings following a permanent unilateral peripheral vestibular lesion). The top trace shows massive nystagmus. The bottom trace shows that a month later there is virtually no nystagmus. The brainstem vestibular nuclei and cerebellum play key roles in the resolution of vestibular nystagmus after an acute peripheral vestibular lesion.

Animal studies allude to the potential inimical influences on the brainstem processes of vestibular compensation—for example, disturbances of visual input (visual cortex ablation in primates impairs compensation), and excessive stress, physical or emotional, by its effect on corticosteroid activity. Our own empirical observation suggests that active migraine retards vestibular compensation and we have a low threshold for using migraine prophylaxis in patients requiring vestibular rehabilitation.

---

**Figure 1**

Three pathways that patients may follow and thus develop chronic dizziness. Episodic vertigo (eg, migraine, Ménière's disease, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV)) gradually leading to chronic dizziness (group 1), a single attack of vertigo (typically vestibular neuritis) with some initial recovery but many residual symptoms (group 2) and chronic, slowly progressive conditions (eg, polyneuropathies, idiopathic bilateral vestibular failure) (group 3).

**BOX 1** Factors which may interfere with recovery following vestibular lesions (slightly modified from Bronstein and Lempert8)

- Age
- Active migraine
- Brain lesions (particularly cerebellar)
- Peripheral neuropathy
- Visual disorders
  - Reduced visual acuity
  - Modified optics (eg, cataract operation)
  - Strabismus
- Factors reducing head movements (eg, neck stiffness)
- Psychosocial problems
- Medical interventions
  - Insufficient/inadequate counselling
  - Antivertiginous drugs
  - Tranquilisers
- Lack of mobility
  - Orthopaedic (eg, hip arthritis)
  - Excessive bed rest or patient advised not to move
  - Fear (eg, of vertigo or falling)
  - Avoidance of symptom provoking situations

- the patient with a persisting history of disequilibrium
- the patient with neither of these.
Recent evidence suggests that the vestibular mechanisms that control eye movements and patients’ symptoms are mediated by two separate but linked systems: one brainstem and the other a perceptual (presumably cortical) system. Thus in acute vertigo, eye movements and perception are strongly coupled whereas in chronic vertigo eye movements correlate poorly with symptoms. Although multiple cortical loci that mediate vestibular perception have been identified, the basic mechanisms underlying vestibular cortical function are poorly understood. Thus we know much less about the factors which may influence the process of vestibular compensation at the cortical level compared with the brainstem level.

Whatever the cause of failure to compensate, anxiety and/or depression are associated with long term symptoms and disability. It is of course plausible that depression can directly interfere with vestibular compensation (or indirectly by the impact of chronically elevated cortisol on brainstem compensation at least). Furthermore, as with any other chronic disease, psychological adjustment to protracted or recurrent vestibular dysfunction may play a critical role. In one study, comorbid anxiety and depression were found in 57% of Ménière’s and 65% of vestibular migraine patients but only in 22% of those with vestibular neuritis and 15% with BPPV. The entanglement of chronic vestibular migraine with anxiety and depression may sometimes be impossible to disentangle.

Whatever the relationship between dizziness and anxiety, the treatment solution requires a multidisciplinary approach.

**ASSESSMENT**

Firstly, a thorough balance and vertigo assessment is required although what really matters are symptoms—(dis)function and (dis)abilities per se—rather than just making an aetiological diagnosis. Many patients with vestibular pathology develop secondary problems—for example, muscular pain from increased muscle tension, particularly in the neck, stress, fatigue and even chronic anxiety. These secondary problems can affect their ability to participate in a rehabilitation programme. Inactivity, whether from bed rest, fear, anxiety or other factors, also delays and impairs complete compensation.

**Functional assessment** is partly incorporated in the conventional neurological examination, such as the Romberg test, gait assessment, including tandem or heel to toe gait. In addition, observing the patient through sequential actions which can be rated or timed may be useful—for example, the ‘Get up and Go’ test which is a quick screening tool for detecting balance problems in the elderly or the Dynamic Gait Index that examines how the gait is able to adapt to various task demands. These examinations allow a therapist to determine performance levels of functionally relevant tasks, have predictive value (eg, for falls risk) and are also useful for evaluating the effectiveness of therapy.

**Systems assessment** partly implies detecting any additional sensory–motor or musculoskeletal impairments. Somatosensory, visual and motor function can be assessed with a conventional neurological examination. The type and effectiveness of postural reactions can be examined with gentle or vigorous pushes/pulls to the trunk to elicit ankle, hip or stepping motor postural strategies.

**Symptom assessment** involves identifying the primary vestibular symptom and its associated autonomic and psychological correlates. The latter may require additional reassurance or treatment if patients develop anxiety or hyperventilation.

**Dizziness triggers** (eg, visual stimuli such as large field stimuli (a moving train) or self-motions (travel sickness)). This is critical in guiding therapy since the principle is ‘we’ll work on whatever turns your dizziness on’ (ie, desensitisation treatment), and hence the exercises for the patient will largely

Anxiety and/or depression are associated with long term symptoms and disability
addition, falls can help to differentiate patients with disequilibrium (who fall) from those with chronic dizziness (who rarely fall).

The diagnosis of the patient with disequilibrium is heavily guided by the collateral history, in particular any symptoms associated with the underlying disease responsible for the gait unsteadiness. Table 1 provides a few questions useful in guiding the examination and laboratory investigations required to reach a diagnosis. As in any other area of neurology, an MRI of the brain is not enough, and it is definitely no substitute for a neurological history and examination.

BILATERAL VESTIBULAR FAILURE
Most neurologists will usually consider the diagnosis of bilateral vestibular failure when patients report oscillopsia during walking and balance problems in the dark after a course of gentamycin (not deaf) or meningitis (usually deaf). However, they often miss the idiopathic cases (which are at least as common) where the only history is of slowly progressive balance difficulty (sometimes very mild) and oscillopsia during walking, running or riding a vehicle. In some cases there are episodes of vertigo or spontaneous paroxysmal oscillopsia gradually leading into this syndrome. The common causes of bilateral vestibular failure are shown in box 2.

Bilateral vestibular failure can be diagnosed in the clinic with simple clinical tests (figure 3), the most popular being the head thrust or head impulse test (figure 4). For further explanation, see our previous article in Practical Neurology.

THE PATIENT WITH PROGRESSIVE DISEQUILIBRIUM
It is not always easy to separate patients with chronic dizziness following episodes of vestibular vertigo from those with true gait unsteadiness on the basis of history alone. It is important at the outset to sort out if the problem lies in the head or the legs, or both. The patient with subjective chronic dizziness may acknowledge that the abnormal sensation is ‘in the head’. They may say they feel as if they were drunk but, in contrast with true drunkenness or gait unsteadiness, friends or colleagues will not notice anything wrong with their balance. In contrast, the patient who describes disequilibrium due to gait unsteadiness will usually volunteer that loss of balance is noticed by themselves and observers alike.

Falling is a key objective measure in disequilibrium and falls frequency (or the absolute number of falls) should be recorded. In addition, falls can help to differentiate patients with disequilibrium (who fall) from those with chronic dizziness (who rarely fall).

The diagnosis of the patient with disequilibrium is heavily guided by the collateral history, in particular any symptoms associated with the underlying disease responsible for the gait unsteadiness. Table 1 provides a few questions useful in guiding the examination and laboratory investigations required to reach a diagnosis. As in any other area of neurology, an MRI of the brain is not enough, and it is definitely no substitute for a neurological history and examination.

BILATERAL VESTIBULAR FAILURE
Most neurologists will usually consider the diagnosis of bilateral vestibular failure when patients report oscillopsia during walking and balance problems in the dark after a course of gentamycin (not deaf) or meningitis (usually deaf). However, they often miss the idiopathic cases (which are at least as common) where the only history is of slowly progressive balance difficulty (sometimes very mild) and oscillopsia during walking, running or riding a vehicle. In some cases there are episodes of vertigo or spontaneous paroxysmal oscillopsia gradually leading into this syndrome. The common causes of bilateral vestibular failure are shown in box 2.

Bilateral vestibular failure can be diagnosed in the clinic with simple clinical tests (figure 3), the most popular being the head thrust or head impulse test (figure 4). For further explanation, see our previous article in Practical Neurology.

THE PATIENT WITH NEITHER VERTIGO NOR DISEQUILIBRIUM

Sometimes patients refer to a sense of vague and chronic dizziness but without any history of ‘true’ vertigo or any disequilibrium. Hopefully direct questions will guide one to specific organs or systems at fault but this line of enquiry may be negative. A full clinical examination is warranted, including checking for orthostatic hypotension, particularly in the elderly taking drugs for high blood pressure. We suggest having a low threshold for lying and standing blood pressure measurements, even when the history is not typical. Good predictors for a cardiovascular diagnosis in this age group are syncope, dizziness described as light headedness, the need

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Possible diagnosis</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oscillopsia?</td>
<td>Downbeat nystagmus syndrome</td>
<td>Brain MRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck or arm pain?</td>
<td>Cervical cord compression/canal stenosis</td>
<td>MRI spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long tract signs?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory loss?</td>
<td>Hydrocephalus, or white matter ‘small vessel’ disease</td>
<td>MRI/CT head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slowness, tremor?</td>
<td>Parkinsonism</td>
<td>Neurological examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor incoordination?</td>
<td>Cerebellar ataxia</td>
<td>DAT scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech disorder?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurological examination+brain MRI, paraneoplastic and genetic tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular risk factors? Strokes?</td>
<td>White matter ‘small vessel’ disease (leukoaraiosis)</td>
<td>Brain MRI/CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal numbness?</td>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>Cardiovascular assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BOX 2 Common causes of bilateral vestibular failure

- Idiopathic (either recurrent vertigo or slowly progressive presentations)
- Gentamycin ototoxicity
- Post-meningitis
- Neurological: cranial/ peripheral neuropathies, cerebellar degeneration

be based on the findings here (see ‘Management of the chronic dizzy patient’ below).
to sit or lie down during symptoms, pallor with symptoms, symptom precipitation by prolonged standing and coexisting vascular disease. But in the elderly, the history may be unreliable due to age related cognitive decline which affects not just recall of any discrete event but sometimes loss of the relevant sensory percept—for example, some older patients do not perceive dizziness during caloric irrigation despite a vigorous nystagmus response. Hence common vestibular diagnoses can be found if specifically looked for in the elderly who complain of vague disequilibrium, in particular one should always check for BPPV.

In general, patients with vague imbalance pose a diagnostic challenge and often numerous investigations are requested—blood tests to rule out general medical conditions such as anaemia, hypothyroidism or other endocrine conditions, diabetes or hypoglycaemia, brain scans and vestibular function tests. With a non-specific history with no pointers to a known disease such as Ménière’s and negative investigations, many patients are diagnosed with psychogenic dizziness—rightly or wrongly. Observe, during history taking, if the patient appears to hyperventilate and enquire about frank hyperventilation and anxiety episodes, as well as features which may indicate hyperventilation such as perioral or distal paraesthesia. In our opinion, the active hyperventilation test is not reliable because hyperventilation induces dizziness and unsteadiness in everybody. Only when the patient recognises his or her own typical symptoms during voluntary hyperventilation is the test useful. Blood gases and the opinion of a chest physician may be justified in some cases.

MANAGEMENT OF THE CHRONIC DIZZY PATIENT
There are four equally important components to the management of any patient with dizziness or vertigo, whether acute, recurrent or chronic:

- the treatment of the specific vestibular condition if there is one (eg, BPPV, migraine, etc)
- short term non-specific pharmacological treatment of vertigo and associated nausea
- physical rehabilitation
- the provision of information, counselling and reassurance.

**Figure 3**
Bedside assessment of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR). All tests rely on the fact that the function of the VOR is to maintain steady gaze (and hence vision) during head movements. During the doll’s head–eyes manoeuvre, the patient fixates the examiner’s nose while the examiner rotates the head (instruct patient to relax the neck). In patients with bilateral absence of the VOR, even slow head oscillations (1 Hz) render them unable to track the examiner’s nose smoothly and small catch up saccades are observed. For the head thrust (or head impulse) test, the head movements imposed by the examiner are discrete, very brisk (ie, high acceleration) and of small amplitude. If the patient has lost the VOR, he or she will need 1–2 catch up saccades at the end of the head movement to be able to re-fixate the examiner’s nose (see figure 4). During dynamic funduscopy, the clinician identifies the optic disc and instructs the patient to maintain fixation on a target across the room. Then the head is rotated from side to side. If the VOR is abnormal, again small saccades can be seen as jerky jumps of the disc (make sure not to obstruct the patient’s line of sight). During dynamic visual acuity testing, the patient’s acuity is determined binocularly with the head stationary. Then the patient’s head is actively oscillated from side to side (and/or up and down) at 1–2 Hz and visual acuity determined again during the head oscillation. Some normal people may loose 1 line of visual acuity (eg, 6/6 to 6/9) but patients with bilateral vestibular failure usually loose 3 or more lines. The head movement has to be actively imposed by the examiner because the patients develop an astute compensatory tactic of briefly stopping the head movement to take a snapshot of the visual chart.

**Figure 4**
A normal (top) and abnormal (bottom) head thrust test. (For a video demonstration, see www.imperial.ac.uk/medicine/balance/research.) In the bottom picture, the right horizontal semicircular canal system is abnormal (hence the catch up saccades to the left when the head turns towards the abnormal labyrinth). In patients with bilateral vestibular dysfunction, the abnormal catch up saccades may be seen with head turns in any direction.
have suffered a genuine vestibular insult in the past which may not show up in conventional vestibular testing but of course failures in the vestibular compensation process and/or added psychological problems complicate the situation (box 1). Because rehabilitation works even in patients with many years of chronic dizzy symptoms,24 you want your patient to cooperate in this process. So you need to explain the principles of vestibular compensation and rehabilitation. There are many useful web pages and leaflets which are helpful (eg, the British Brain and Spine Foundation http://www.bbsf.org.uk or the Vestibular Disorders Association http://www.vestibular.org). Motivated patients, actively engaged in the rehabilitation process, fare better than patients who develop an external locus of control, feeling they have no power over their clinical outcome.19

You may also need to mention that anxiety and depression are very common in patients with dizziness but that this does not mean the symptoms are imaginary or, worse, the result of malingering. Most patients have psychological complications. Separating ‘organic from psychogenic’ or ‘primary from secondary’ is deeply engrained in our medical training but nowhere is it more difficult to do this than in the patient with chronic ‘dizziness’. The effort to distinguish organic from psychogenic may not even always be worthwhile; indeed, many patients are willing to undertake cognitive behavioural or other psychotherapies if they see this as part of a global ‘body and soul’ effort. Some patients may need antidepressants, particularly on initiating the rehabilitation process. There is no evidence that antidepressants interfere with vestibular compensation or rehabilitation, and some studies suggest a positive effect.34

Rehabilitation
The complexity of the rehabilitation programme depends on how much, if any, rehabilitation and advice your patient has already had, and how much access you have to vestibular rehabilitation services and how good these services are. For the patient who has been ill advised to stay in bed and to take tablets when dizzy, simple factual explanation, encouragement to begin activity and progressive reduction in medication may be enough. The other end of the spectrum is the patient who has already...
completed a course of conventional vestibular rehabilitation but has developed visual vertigo (see below). This patient may need specialised vestibular rehabilitation, including optic flow techniques. Most chronic dizzy patients are likely to be in between these two extremes.

A key aspect is understanding that the control of balance emerges from an interaction between many sensorimotor systems. Thus the purpose of rehabilitation is to maximise the natural ability of the CNS to compensate for lesions in the vestibular system. The neural basis for vestibular compensation is distributed throughout the nervous system such that lesions in the cerebellum, cortex, spinal cord, brainstem or sensory systems can prevent or reduce the capacity for compensation. Vestibular compensation is a plastic process that allows the CNS to restore functional symmetry after a unilateral peripheral vestibular lesion (figure 2). Patients with both unilateral and bilateral vestibular loss also compensate by a process of sensory substitution by which they learn to use non-vestibular information for balance—namely, visual and proprioceptive inputs. Although this is obviously a useful compensatory process it can also create some new problems—for example, patients unduly dependent on visual input for balance and spatial orientation may become dizzy in the presence of visual motion stimulation (‘visual vertigo’ as discussed below).

We will now outline how to organise a rehabilitation programme because many doctors may not have access to an audiologist or physiotherapist specifically trained in vestibular rehabilitation. An important comorbidity to consider prior to embarking on vestibular rehabilitation is migraine which is often aggravated by vestibular exercises. We thus advise that any active migraine should be effectively treated before starting vestibular rehabilitation.

**REHABILITATION TREATMENT OF BALANCE DISORDERS**

Vestibular (‘Cawthorne-Cooksey’) exercises consist of eye, head and postural exercises of increasing complexity (box 3). They are intended to include those eye, head or body positions and movements which provoke vertigo. A list of exercises can be given to the patient with the instruction to go down the list identifying which ones are symptom provoking; these the patients should concentrate on.

The exercises should be performed for 10–15 min twice a day. ‘Pacing’ is crucial because unless the exercises are performed slowly at first they will induce unacceptable vertigo and nausea. The patient should gradually increase the pace and difficulty of the exercises as the provoked dizziness progressively abates. It is important to foster positive but realistic expectations. Patients must be told that their symptoms will at first worsen and that improvement may be uneven. Although generic exercise programmes, either provided as leaflets or group physiotherapy sessions, achieve good results, customised therapy results are superior. In addition, the rehabilitation professional will work on all other limitations and impairments noted during the balance therapy assessment. This will include re-training of appropriate postures such as ankle, hip and stepping strategies. Similarly, he or she will attempt to redress any

---

**BOX 3 Vestibular rehabilitation exercises**

- **Head exercises (with eyes open and closed)**
  - Bend head backwards and forwards
  - Turn head from side to side
  - Tilt head from one shoulder to the other

- **Fixation exercises**
  - Move eyes up and down, side to side
  - Perform head exercises while fixing stationary target
  - Perform head exercises while fixing moving target

- **Positioning exercises (with eyes open and closed)**
  - While seated, bend down to touch the floor
  - Bend down with head twisted first to one side and then the other
  - Sit up from lying on the back and on each side

- **Postural exercises (with eyes open and eyes closed under supervision)**
  - Practice static stance with feet as close together as possible
  - Practice standing on one leg, and heel to toe

- **Positioning exercises (with eyes closed)**
  - Repeat head fixation exercises while standing and then walking
  - Practice walking in circles, pivot turns, up slopes, stairs, around obstacles
  - Standing and walking in environments with altered surface and/or visual conditions with and without head and fixation exercises
  - Exercises including alternate touching the toes, trunk bends and twists, etc

Note: The exercise programme for a given patient will depend on their symptoms and triggers for their symptoms.

*Exercises and treatment repositioning procedures can be seen in a DVD (Bronstein and Lempert27).*
surface or visual dependence (figure 5 and bottom of figure 6). Decreasing a patient’s hypersensitivity to visual motion cues can be done by balancing during exposure to optokinetic stimuli, virtual reality sets or simply watching complex computer games on a big screen.

All exercises or situations that are symptom provoking have to be made progressively more complex as the patient is desensitised. For instance, if a patient is dizzy when turning the head from side to side, this exercise will be initially practised just while seated, then standing, walking, walking on a mattress or standing on one foot at a time, or with eyes closed. The principle is adding complexity to cover as many circumstances as possible so that when the patient has to move their head in an everyday life situation all possibilities have been practised beforehand.

Not all patients need so much work up. In many cooperative patients an explanation of the principles of vestibular compensation and how this is achieved through graded activity is enough. The next step up would be a list of the exercises in box 3, with an explanation to identify the symptom provoking ones, a twice a day activity schedule and an indication to progressively intensify the pace. However, better to encourage an audiologist or physiotherapist in your hospital to become interested in balance rehabilitation.
LAST BUT NOT LEAST, SOME SPECIFIC SYNDROMES OF CHRONIC DIZZINESS TO BE AWARE OF

Visual vertigo

These are the patients with chronic dizziness whose symptoms are worse in certain 'visually busy' surroundings. The syndrome has been given different names such as visual vertigo,37 38 visuo-vestibular mismatch39 and space and motion discomfort.40 Frequent triggers are walking between shelves in supermarket aisles, and viewing movement of large visual objects such as clouds, windswept trees, rivers flowing, disco lights, crowds, traffic, curtains or films with car chase scenes. Repetitive visual patterns like the stacks of cans on supermarket shelves, ironing striped shirts or walking past a repetitive patterned fence seem to be relevant. Some patients also mention that moving their eyes, reading and flickering or fluorescent light can make them feel dizzy.

Some patients with vestibular lesions become overly sensitive to visual stimuli. However, an increased sensitivity to visual signals is a normal response to vestibular disease ('sensory substitution') but this process may be exaggerated in patients with visual vertigo.39  41-43 Why some patients develop visual dependence and visual vertigo is not known but migraine appears to be a predisposing factor.43 We do know, however, that visual vertigo can be improved by rehabilitation which includes customised vestibular therapy with additional visual motion desensitisation24 (figure 3).

Motorist disorientation syndrome

Driving, particularly on motorways, can be uncomfortable for patients with vestibular disorders and chronic dizziness. Occasionally, they report a sensation that their car is tilting or veering to one side which is so compelling that they consult their mechanic or change their car before seeing their doctor. This syndrome45 also seems to be, at least partly, visually determined because patients describe problems in visually deprived areas (top of a hill) and visually challenging conditions (simultaneously overtaking and being overtaken by a car). Indeed the coexistence of visual vertigo and motorist disorientation syndrome in the same patient is not rare.

Going round a bend, as when driving in a roundabout, can also disorient patients but here there may be a predominantly vestibular mediated component. It must be kept in mind that driving through a curve is different to just turning round while walking. The radius of curvature is large while driving so the conditions are equivalent to being centrifuged and that is why you feel pushed sideways against the car door. In this case the unusual stimulation (sideways linear acceleration) acting on a damaged otolith system may be responsible for the symptoms. Psychological components, usually in the form of panic and avoidance behaviour, can contribute. In fact, in patients with no vestibular history or findings, a psychological disorder may be the only mechanism responsible.46 In these patients, however, there is usually more ‘panic’ and less ‘veering and tilting’ of the car.

In those with motorist disorientation syndrome, a previous history of vestibular disease and no panic component, treatment is based on vestibular rehabilitation with the addition of visuo-vestibular conflict and optic flow stimuli. In patients with mostly panic symptoms but no vestibular disease, the treatment is predominantly psychiatric, often combining medication with cognitive behavioural therapy.47 Many patients fall between these two extremes and are accordingly managed with a combination of treatments.

Psychological presentations, phobic postural vertigo, panic attacks

The term ‘phobic postural vertigo’ describes patients with transient sensations of unsteadiness in whom postural balance is entirely normal on extensive clinical examination.48 Patients may deny psychological disturbance but excessive anxiety or an obsessive-compulsive personality may be apparent. Panic attacks, in which patients describe autonomic symptoms, catastrophic thoughts and avoidance behaviour can also turn up in dizzy patient clinics mimicking vestibular initiated chronic dizziness.

A complicating factor is that in approximately 30% of patients with these ‘psychogenic’ syndromes a vestibular onset such as BPPV, migraine or vestibular neuritis can be elicited.49 Another complication is that some symptoms of the visual vertigo and motorist disorientation

All exercises or situations that are symptom provoking have to be made progressively more complex as the patient is desensitised
TABLE 2  Summary of our approach to the patient with chronic vestibular symptoms (slightly modified from Bronstein and Lempert31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Specific goals—try to establish:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attempt retrospective diagnosis</td>
<td>Did it all start as BPPV, vestibular neuritis, recurrent vertigo (eg, migraine, Ménière's disease), brainstem stroke?  Are the original symptoms still present?  Or are we only dealing with chronic dizzy symptoms?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other potentially important problems</td>
<td>Fluctuating vestibular disorder, recurrent vertigo  Visual problems such as squint, cataract operation  Proprrioceptive deficit such as peripheral neuropathy (diabetes/alcohol)  Neurological problems such as ’small vessel’ white matter disease  Orthopaedic problems and lack of mobility  Loss of confidence, fear of falling, psychological disorders  Age: all of the above possible but try to identify which one(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment is multidisciplinary</td>
<td>Treat any episodic vertigo specifically:  BPPV: repositioning manoeuvres  Vestibular migraine: migraine prophylaxis  Ménière's disease: low salt diet, diuretics,betahistine  Rehabilitation (and simple counselling): all patients  Treat underlying complicating factors:  eg,orthopaedic, depression, diabetes, migraine  Do not prescribe vestibular suppressants or tranquillisers, stop/reduce them if possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make sure the 'chronic dizziness' is not a gait disorder</td>
<td>'Is your problem a head problem or a leg problem?’ Ask about falls  Observe: gait (including heel to toe), postural reactions and Romberg’s sign  Eye movement and neurological examination:  Bilateral vestibular failure: oscillopsia, unsteady in the dark, abnormal doll’s head/head thrust test  Cerebellum: abnormal eye movements, gait/limb ataxia  Parkinsonism: rest tremor, increased tone, akinesia  Spasticity: increased reflexes, Babinski’s sign  Peripheral neuropathy: distal weakness (cannot walk on heels or toes) and sensory loss  Frontal disorder/hydrocephalus: gait 'ignition' failure, gait apraxia, shuffling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPPV, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo.

syndromes overlap with phobic postural vertigo and panic. It may well be that some of these presentations are just normal modes of reaction that humans have to different disorders. A vestibular disorder or a psychological problem may lead to a similar final clinical result.

In terms of treatment, each patient needs to be considered on his or her own merits. Two examples at opposite ends of a spectrum would be the patient who has no pre-existing psychiatric history but just vestibular neuritis leading to chronic dizziness with visual vertigo and another patient with general anxiety and panic attacks in the supermarket in whom, despite direct questioning and specialised balance examination, no vestibular features are detected. There is little doubt that the former patient should receive vestibular treatment, usually rehabilitation including visual motion desensitisation, and that the latter should be in the hands of a psychiatrist or cognitive behavioural therapist. The many patients in between these two examples often require combined treatment. Ideally, vestibular therapists, whatever their background (audiologists or physiotherapists) should have counselling skills so they can deal with the very common anxiety, depressive or phobic components that so many patients have (table 2).
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A practical approach to acute vertigo

Barry M Seemungal, Adolfo M Bronstein

Patients complaining of symptoms of acute vertigo present a diagnostic challenge for the clinician; the main differential diagnoses are acute unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy ("vestibular neuritis"), cerebellar stroke or migraine. The head impulse test is useful in the acute situation because, of these three diagnostic alternatives, it will only be positive in patients with vestibular neuritis. A history of acute vertigo and hearing loss suggests Ménière's disease but the clinician must be wary of anterior inferior cerebellar artery strokes which may cause audiovestibular loss due to peripheral vestibulocochlear ischaemia, although the accompanying brainstem signs should remove diagnostic ambiguity. We also discuss other less common vertigo diagnoses that may be referred to the neurologist from the acute general hospital take. As ever in neurology, a careful history and focussed examination is necessary in the evaluation and management of acute vertigo.

Dizziness is a common acute complaint; one Italian study reported a population-based incidence of 3.6 cases per 100 000 person-years presenting to the emergency department.¹ The exact frequency of specific vertigo diagnoses remains unclear however, partly because emergency and general internal physicians are not very good at neurological diagnosis (one prospective study found that a neurological review resulted in a "complete change of diagnosis" in 53% of acute admissions with neurological symptoms).²

In practice, making a diagnosis is most problematic when faced with vertigo without additional focal neurological symptoms. We will therefore discuss first the clinical approach for patients presenting with acute isolated vertigo, and then second with acute vertigo and deafness.
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CLINICAL APPROACH TO THE PATIENT WITH ACUTE VERTIGO

In a patient presenting with acute vertigo, the main questions are:

- where is the lesion, central or peripheral?
- does this patient require immediate neuroimaging?

History taking

Patients use the term "dizziness" to describe a variety of subjective experiences and so very careful clarification is needed to avoid diagnostic mistakes (box 1). Rotational dizziness (vertigo) implies disturbance of the semicircular canals or their central pathways, particularly in the acute phase. In the improving, post-acute phase, many patients with vestibular disorders report other forms of dizziness, using words such as "giddiness" or "light headedness", but beware because patients with general medical conditions (anaemia, hypoglycaemia), haemodynamic (orthostatic hypotension, pre-syncpe) or psychological problems also use these very same words. Furthermore, sensory-motor disorders of the lower limbs (parkinsonism, gait ataxia, spinal cord syndromes) come into the differential diagnosis when patients describe their symptoms as imbalance or unsteadiness. It can be useful to ask patients if the problem is "in their legs or in their head" and whether the sensations are as if they are "about to faint" (pre-syncopal) as opposed to "being on a merry-go-round" or "on a boat" (vestibular).

Physical examination

In deciding "is it peripheral or central?", a simple understanding of basic vestibular physiology (figs 1 and 2) is helpful in explaining many of the important clinical findings (table).

- **Unidirectional nystagmus**: in acute peripheral vestibular loss, the nystagmus is unidirectional (that is, the direction of the nystagmus is unaffected by changes in the direction of gaze) with the slow phase in the direction of the defunct labyrinth (that is, fast phase beating to the contralateral side). The nystagmus will be most visible when looking in the direction of the fast phase, less so in the midline, and least in the opposite direction (that is, the intensity but not the direction of "vestibular" nystagmus is affected by gaze direction). Note that unidirectional nystagmus confirms that the nystagmus is "vestibular" in origin and while typical for a peripheral lesion, this can also be seen in central vestibular lesions involving the brainstem (where additional signs make localisation relatively easy).

- **Vestibular-ocular reflex (VOR) testing**: the VOR is impaired in peripheral vestibular loss. This is demonstrable when the head (face) is moved in the direction of the damaged labyrinth (or vestibular nerve). The head-impulse (or head-thrust) test is the method of choice in clinically assessing the integrity of the VOR in the acute phase (fig 3). A video demonstrating the head impulse test can be found on the weblink at the end of this article (more...
extensive video tutorials on “how to do it” as well as normal and abnormal examples can be found in Bronstein and Lempert).3

- **Suppression of nystagmus:** a key sign of “peripheral” vestibular dysfunction is the suppression of unidirectional vestibular nystagmus when visual fixation is allowed. Conversely, without visual fixation, as in the dark, the nystagmus intensity is increased (that is, faster slow-phase velocity). The ability to suppress spontaneous nystagmus in the light suggests intact central (mainly cerebellar) mechanisms. Frenzel’s glasses, an ophthalmoscope, or even observing the nystagmus beating behind closed eyelids can be used to examine the effect of loss of visual fixation on nystagmus intensity. If using an ophthalmoscope to detect nystagmus, it must be remembered that the observed direction of retinal movement (posterior aspect of the globe) is

---

**Figure 1**

Vestibular-ocular reflex (VOR) physiology made simple.

**Figure 2**

Unidirectional vestibular nystagmus: 1°, 2° and 3° nystagmus. Consider a left peripheral vestibular lesion where eye tends to drift leftward due to left hypofunction (viz. relative right overactivity). The leftward slow-phase vestibular bias (blue arrow) when added to visco-elastic forces (red arrow) will produce a net slow-phase force (pink arrow) pulling on the eye. In this way we can see clearly why in this case, looking towards the right will elicit the greatest net (leftward) slow-phase force and hence right gaze is the eye position that will most easily generate a right beating nystagmus; viz first-degree (1°) right-beating nystagmus. Nystagmus occurring in the neutral (2° nystagmus) and left gaze (3° nystagmus) are progressively less likely to occur.
TABLE Clinical signs in acute vertigo: peripheral or central?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Peripheral</th>
<th>Central</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular ocular reflex</td>
<td>Head impulse test</td>
<td>Impaired</td>
<td>Intact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous nystagmus</td>
<td>Nystagmus direction</td>
<td>*Mixed horizontal/torsional</td>
<td>†Pure horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suppression of nystagmus with visual fixation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>††Pure vertical No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth pursuit nystagmus</td>
<td>Is pursuit “smooth” or “broken”?</td>
<td>Pursuit is intact. Can be difficult to assess with vigorous spontaneous nystagmus</td>
<td>Pursuit is broken in ipsilesional direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positional nystagmus</td>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>No latency</td>
<td>No adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adaptability</td>
<td>No adaptation</td>
<td>No fatiguability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatiguability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mixed horizontal/torsional—typical for vestibular neuritis nystagmus but can occur with central lesion. 
†Pure horizontal—usually central but could occur with a lesion isolated to a single horizontal canal (or connections). 
††Pure vertical—usually central but could occur with bilateral, simultaneous lesions affection either both anterior canals or both posterior canals (or their connections).

$Suppression of vestibular nystagmus requires intact smooth pursuit mechanisms.

Figure 3
The head impulse or head thrust test. The examiner holds the patient’s head and asks him to fixate on her nose. The examiner then delivers a discrete, low amplitude (15–20°), but very fast, head thrust to one side. If the patient’s vestibular-ocular reflex (VOR) is intact then, when the head is rotated, the eyes will remain fixated on the examiner’s nose. If the VOR is unilaterally impaired then, when the head is rotated the patient’s eyes will momentarily lose their fixation on the examiner’s nose. The examiner should look for one or more catch up saccades directed back towards the initial fixation point—that is, his nose. The figure shows a left head thrust probing the left horizontal semicircular canal function. Several trials in each direction, right and left, should be carried out in a pseudorandom order.

ACUTE ISOLATED VERTIGO

Acute isolated vertigo is usually benign, and indeed a clinician following this rule of thumb will be right most of the time on pure probability grounds. Making a specific diagnosis is important however, as stroke can present with isolated vertigo, and also identifying benign conditions will reassure the patient and ensure expedient management. For example, benign positional paroxysmal vertigo (BBPV) should always be considered, even when acute vertigo apparently persists, because the patient’s account of their symptoms may mislead (box 1). It is an important diagnosis both because it is common, and also its identification allows immediate treatment and discharge.4, 5

The important causes of acute isolated vertigo lasting at least several hours that neurologists should be aware of are:

- acute idiopathic unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy (“vestibular neuritis or neuronitis”, “labyrinthitis”)
- cerebellar stroke
- migrainous vertigo
- “missed” BPPV
- bilateral vestibular failure.

Neurological examination: any clearcut central neurological signs in the presence of a neuro-otological syndrome make lesion localisation relatively easy, particularly when there is brainstem involvement. Isolated cerebellar strokes, however, may mimic a peripheral vestibular syndrome (see below).

General examination: a focused general examination is also important. For example, if the history and signs suggest a peripheral neuro-otological syndrome, examination of the external auditory meatus is mandatory to look for local pathology such as cholesteatoma, ear-drum perforation, discharge, the vesicles of Ramsay-Hunt syndrome, etc. If stroke is considered, a careful cardiovascular examination is required (for example, for atrial fibrillation).
Acute idiopathic unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy

This is the commonest cause of vertigo lasting more than 24 hours during which there are symptoms and signs of unilateral vestibular hypofunction. The syndrome is synonymous with “vestibular neuritis” or “labyrinthitis” (a viral aetiology has been suggested). Although itself not life threatening, distinguishing vestibular neuritis from stroke for example, is essential not only to avoid missing a serious diagnosis, but also to avoid over-investigation and inappropriate lifelong treatment for secondary stroke prevention.

Typically, patients have a subacute onset over hours, of spinning vertigo. Occasionally the onset is over several minutes but rarely less (when a stroke must be considered). The sensation of vertigo is intense and is almost always associated with nausea and vomiting. Patients see the visual world spinning around them, mainly in a horizontal direction. This visual perception is produced by the slow phase component of the nystagmus (because vision is suppressed during quick-phase eye movements), thus a rightward slow-phase eye movement generates the illusion of leftward visual world movement. The sense of self-movement is present with the eyes closed and is made worse by any head movement and reduced, but rarely fully suppressed, by keeping the head absolutely still. The examination findings have been covered above.

Note that, in general, the nystagmus of an acute “vestibular neuritis” settles over several days, not due to recovery of function in the affected ear (although this often does recover over weeks to months), but rather to brainstem plasticity (see Gliddon et al for review of vestibular plasticity).

Differential diagnoses of an acute unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy

Cerebellar stroke can usually be differentiated by its hyperacute onset—that is, within seconds—although the pace of onset is not always available (for example, if symptoms are present on waking). The head impulse test remains intact (normal) in cerebellar stroke. Occasionally, if the brainstem is not also involved, it can be difficult to distinguish stroke from a peripheral vestibulopathy; where there is any doubt acute neuroimaging is indicated (we discuss indications for neuroimaging in the next section). In practice, patients with cerebellar infarcts presenting like a vestibular neuritis will typically have a large cerebellar hemispheric stroke and will usually not present to hospital sooner than 24 hours into their history (because they are often treated as an “ear problem” initially); in such cases CT often demonstrates the infarct (or haematoma). Of course, CT negative cases where there is still a suspicion of stroke require MRI.

Migrainous vertigo—this diagnosis is supported by a past history of migraine headache with vertigo. As patients with acute vestibular migraine may have nystagmus with central features, in an acute first presentation, urgent brain imaging may be required (see below sections on cerebellar stroke and migraine).

Investigation of acute idiopathic unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy

A caloric test is the most useful specialist examination in a patient presenting with what appears to be an acute peripheral vestibulopathy. A recent study found that the bedside iced-water caloric test compared favourably to standard warm water or warm air calorics. In this study, the authors were able to lower tap water temperature to 4°C by adding ice cubes for about 10 minutes. 2 ml of iced water is injected with a syringe into the external auditory meatus (with prior otoscopy to exclude occlusion by wax, or other local pathology). In a peripheral lesion, iced water on the damaged side either does not cause nystagmus, or has no effect on any spontaneous nystagmus in primary gaze. Electronystagmography does not add greatly to what can be gleaned from a careful clinical examination and caloric testing.

Direct visualisation of an affected vestibular nerve in “vestibular neuritis” has been reported in a single small series with gadolinium enhanced 3T MRI, but imaging in this condition is more of academic interest than of practical utility. Acute neuroimaging is required, however, if a central cause is suspected (for example, cerebellar stroke).

Treatment of acute idiopathic unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy

Recent data have suggested that early intervention with corticosteroids may improve
Box 2 Oculomotor and imaging findings in a patient with acute onset vertigo, nausea vomiting and headache

A 52-year-old man presented 24 hours after the onset of vertigo which came on as he bent down in the shower. The vertigo, which persisted, was severe and accompanied by nausea, vomiting and occipital headache. All symptoms increased with minimal head movement. There was no past history of headache. Clinical examination in the light showed no nystagmus, with normal saccades and smooth pursuit. There was minimal unilateral nystagmus on right gaze without visual fixation. There was no on-the-couch limb ataxia and there was too much vertigo and sickness to test gait. Importantly, the head impulse test was normal, although this test provoked vomiting. Acute brain CT (and subsequent MRI) revealed a cerebellar infarct. This patient represents one of the atypical cases who do not have florid cerebellar signs even though they have had a cerebellar stroke. Importantly, the intact head impulse test, despite the disabling vertigo, meant that a peripheral vestibular cause (for example, vestibular neuritis) was an untenable diagnosis. Thus the differential diagnosis lay between migrainous vertigo and a cerebellar stroke. Furthermore, the new onset headache in a patient without previous migraine allied to the hyperacute onset vertigo meant that brain imaging was mandatory. Ischaemic strokes involving the cerebellar hemisphere are typically embolic and here the history of a Valsalva manoeuvre (bending down) at vertigo onset was suggestive of paradoxical embolism. A patent foramen ovale with significant right-to-left shunting on Valsalva was demonstrated in this patient who chose to have this closed.

long-term outcome in terms of vestibular function tested by bithermal calorics, but the key measure of symptomatic outcome (which relates in part to brainstem compensation following a unilateral vestibulopathy) has not been assessed. The utility of steroids in vestibular neuritis therefore remains unclear.

Cerebellar stroke

Vertigo is the commonest symptom in cerebellar stroke. Moreover, patients with cerebellar hemispheric strokes not also involving the brainstem may complain of vertigo without any other symptoms and also, rarely, there may be no nystagmus or on-the-couch ataxia of the limbs. Red flags include hyperacute onset vertigo, occipital headache or profound gait ataxia.

The clinical approach and neuro-otological examination have been discussed above. A key point to note is that the head impulse test is intact in cerebellar strokes. As there is considerable divergence and pathway redundancy of primary vestibular afferents, theoretically only catastrophic strokes involving the brainstem vestibular nuclei would be sufficient to obliterate the head impulse test, but then the “central versus peripheral” question would be clear-cut on the basis of other symptoms and signs. But note that peripheral combined audiovestibular loss (with an abnormal head impulse test) can occur with vertebrobasilar ischaemia (see “acute vertigo with deafness” below).

A recent reported case illustrates the utility of assessing the VOR (in this case with caloric testing) in what initially appeared to be vestibular neuritis (although the head impulse test was not performed). The normal caloric test alerted the authors to the non-peripheral nature of the case which turned out to be a cerebellar stroke due to vertebral artery dissection. In box 2 and fig 4 we describe a patient with vertigo in whom a negative head impulse test lead us to perform acute brain imaging.

Immediate brain imaging is indicated in suspected cerebellar stroke. Although CT will diagnose some ischaemic strokes and virtually all cerebellar haemorrhages, MRI is more sensitive, particularly with diffusion weighting. Our criteria for neuro-imaging in acute vertigo are vertigo plus one or more of the following:

Figure 4
The electronystagmograph of a patient (see clinical vignette in box 2) with a right cerebellar stroke is shown (top) demonstrating a right beating nystagmus (upwards = rightwards on trace) on right gaze (30° from midline) in the dark. Interestingly, this patient was able to suppress his nystagmus in the light (see “light on”). Below shows the initial CT brain and the subsequent MR flair image.
new onset (occipital) headache
any central neurological symptoms or signs
acute deafness
intact head impulse test.

Migrainous vertigo
Migrainous vertigo, although not recognised in the International Headache Society schema, is a commonly diagnosed entity among neuro-otologists. Indeed, in a typical tertiary referral neuro-otology clinic, migrainous vertigo is the commonest new diagnosis. This diagnosis requires clinical suspicion and is one of exclusion; Neuhauser et al. have suggested diagnostic criteria (box 3).

Patients with this syndrome may have dizziness lasting minutes, hours or even more than a day, and their dizziness ranges from a gentle “rocking-in-a-boat” to a terrifying “merry-go-round” spinning sensation. The diagnosis is easy when patients have concurrent migraine headache with their vestibular symptoms, not surprisingly these cases are less commonly seen in the specialist neuro-otology clinic. The typical patient we see is a migraineur who has noticed a recent increase in headache frequency and, over the same period, developed vestibular episodes, but with headache and vertigo not occurring together. Some patients may have non-headache migrainous symptoms (for example, photophobia) with vertigo or they may have only isolated vertigo. This diagnosis is not widely recognised outside of neuro-otological practice, hence patients are often untreated for years.

Migrainous vertigo is a diagnosis of exclusion and because some patients may have symptoms and signs (including nystagmus) suggestive of central dysfunction, then acute neuroimaging may be required on first presentation. von Brevern et al. characterised the oculomotor features of migrainous vertigo within 12 hours of symptom onset. Of 20 patients assessed, 14 had nystagmus during the attack. Of these patients, 10 had central nystagmus, 3 had peripheral origin nystagmus, and the others remained indeterminate. Importantly, interictal testing in these patients should reveal no (or minor) oculomotor or vestibular abnormality. These findings are important both for our understanding of migrainous vertigo from a pathophysiological viewpoint, and for the clinician:

- First, that migrainous vertigo is associated with diverse forms of oculomotor dysfunction suggests it may not be a single syndrome with a single underlying pathophysiological process.
- Second, acute migrainous vertigo, particularly on first presentation, may be a diagnostic challenge for the clinician and in this setting other diagnoses (for example, cerebellar or brainstem stroke) may require exclusion with appropriate investigation.

A further problem with the diagnosis of migrainous vertigo is the assumption that migraine always causes vestibular symptoms when these symptoms co-occur. Thus one interpretation of the finding of Radtke et al. that 50% of Ménière’s patients have migrainous features during acute vertiginous episodes, is that Ménière’s disease and migraine share a common pathophysiology. Another possibility is that vestibular activation per se—for example, as during motion sickness—may act as a migraine trigger. This is supported by the observation that caloric stimulation may trigger a classical migraine attack in some migraineurs.

Although there have been no adequate randomised trials of treatment of migrainous

---

**Box 3 Neuhauser criteria for migrainous vertigo**

**Definite**
1. Episodic vestibular symptoms of at least moderate severity
   - vertigo; positional dizziness and head motion intolerance
2. Migraine according to International Headache Criteria
3. One or more of the following features during at least two vertigo attacks
   - migrainous headache
   - headache
   - photophobia
   - phonophobia
   - migraine aura
4. Other diagnoses excluded by appropriate tests

**Probable**
- Criterion 1 and 4 as above plus at least ONE of the following:
  - migrainous headache
  - migraine symptoms during vertigo
  - migraine-specific triggers of vertigo (for example, specific food, etc)
  - response to antimigraine drugs

---
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in the clinic setting, most neurootologists use standard antimigraine prophylactic drugs (for example, propanolol, pizotifen and amitriptyline) with reasonable success.

Missed BPPV diagnosis
Patients with acutely symptomatic BPPV continue to be admitted to hospital as emergencies because the spontaneous history provided by the patient may be atypical (often in terms of vertigo duration) and/or the attending physician has failed to perform a Hallpike test. BPPV is the commonest cause of acute vertigo and has such a typical history (that is, position-induced vertigo lasting seconds, typically on lying down and turning over in bed) and findings (torsional nystagmus beating towards the lower ear during the Hallpike manoeuvre) that there is usually little difficulty in making the diagnosis. A recent review re-emphasises the usefulness of conventional repositioning treatment for this condition.

Bilateral vestibular failure
By far the commonest cause of in-hospital bilateral vestibular failure is aminoglycoside toxicity. It is generally not appreciated that aminoglycosides have differential effects on vestibular versus cochlear function. Thus gentamicin and streptomycin are primarily vestibulotoxic while amikacin and neomycin are predominantly cochleotoxic. Hence in patients with gentamicin associated vestibular loss, the majority will not be deaf. Indeed, ablation of vestibular function by injection of intra-tympanic gentamicin (in some patients with refractory Ménière's disease) is titrated so that hearing is preserved.

The typical patient with aminoglycoside vestibulotoxicity will have been in critical care, often with renal failure. About one in five patients develop episodic vertigo lasting several minutes to hours for a few days—which is counterintuitive because one would expect vestibular loss to occur equally on the right and left sides (the vertigo implies a right-left vestibular imbalance). Whatever their origin, the vertiginous episodes wane after a few days as the vestibular function is ablated. The patient’s attempts to mobilise and rehabilitate, however, are severely compromised by poor balance as well as severe oscillopsia on head movement, and this is sometimes compounded by the administration of a long-term vestibular sedative (for example, stemetil). The poor gait often leads to patients being labelled as having had a cerebellar stroke. The diagnosis of vestibulotoxicity must be considered in critically ill patients with “dizziness” and is easily made with the head-impulse test clinically, and confirmed by caloric testing.

Bilateral vestibular failure, which is almost always permanent, can have devastating consequences for the patient’s mobility and independence. Functional recovery, which is never complete, is slow (over years). Graded physical activity is important in aiding the recovery of gait and balance. Chronic drug therapy (apart from treating any concurrent migraine) has no role in the rehabilitation of patients with bilateral vestibular failure.

ACUTE VERTIGO WITH DEAFNESS
Ménière’s disease
Ménière’s disease is the commonest cause of acute vertigo with deafness. The diagnostic gold standard is temporal bone histopathology (that is, at postmortem), but day-to-day clinical diagnosis relies on a constellation of symptoms, signs and confirmatory testing. Typically attacks start with a feeling of fullness in one ear, leading to progressive tinnitus, ipsilateral fluctuating hearing loss and severe vertigo. Examination during an attack shows a peripheral vestibular nystagmus with the head impulse test lateralising the vestibular hypofunction to the symptomatic ear. Over time, there is progressive unilateral audiovestibular loss and as this happens, the severity of the acute attack peters out. Rarely, patients with Ménière’s disease may develop very sudden drop attacks without other acute symptoms of Ménière’s disease at the same time. In such cases a history of previous typical Ménière’s attacks allows the clinician to make the diagnosis.

Vertebobasilar ischaemia
The advent of neuroimaging, particularly MRI, has allowed an appreciation that sudden hearing loss can occasionally occur in brainstem strokes. As this hearing loss is almost always accompanied by vertigo, a
purely peripheral syndrome may be initially considered but the associated brainstem signs in almost every case would suggest central involvement. In fact, the hearing loss that occurs in brainstem stroke is usually peripheral being due to occlusion of the internal auditory artery (IAA) which supplies the membranous labyrinth. This is a branch of the anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA) which also supplies the dorsolateral pontomedullary junction and middle cerebellar peduncle. Rarely the IAA is supplied by the medial branch of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA). Occasionally the hearing loss can be due to a central lesion affecting crossing auditory pathways (lateral lemniscus) of the contralateral dorsolateral upper pons. Additionally, bilateral hearing loss has been reported with vertebrobasilar ischaemia.

Audiovestibular testing adds little to the diagnosis but may help with prognosis because hearing recovery is less likely with an initial severe hearing loss. Cochlear dysfunction predominates over retrocochlear loss but it is unclear if the site of the lesion affects the prognosis for hearing recovery. Overall, however, the prognosis is good with 80% of patients having some degree of hearing recovery in the long term.

A clinically isolated vestibular syndrome without overt additional neurological signs in the face of MRI evidence of brainstem infarction is rare, accounting for less than 0.35% of vertebrobasilar strokes. In such cases the area of brainstem infarction is very small, thus explaining the paucity of central signs (with any prominentvestibulotubular symptoms most likely related to “peripheral” ischaemia). Perhaps more common, but still under-recognised because it is so non-specific, is the occurrence of brief (minutes), isolated audiovestibular episodes (mainly vertigo) before a vertebrobasilar stroke. Grad and Baloh noted that 60% of patients with brainstem strokes had experienced brief (minutes) isolated vertiginous episodes, presumably representing transient ischaemia either of peripheral or central vestibular structures. It is not known, however, what proportion of all patients with a transient peripheral vestibulopathy subsequently develop a vertebrobasilar stroke (the large prospective cohort study to answer this has never been done).

**Acoustic neuroma**

Acoustic neuromas typically present with gradually progressive unilateral hearing loss and tinnitus. Although reported, vertigo is rare in uncomplicated acoustic neuroma because the insidious onset allows brainstem mechanisms to almost fully compensate for the progressive peripheral (vestibular) deficit. Many authors have assumed that acute vertigo in acoustic neuroma (which although rare does occur) results from cystic expansion of the tumour, but a large retrospective series found no difference in the frequency of acute vertiginous episodes between cystic and non-cystic tumours. Rarely, intratumoural haemorrhage into an acoustic neuroma with vertigo has been reported.

**Labyrinthine haemorrhage**

Labyrinthine haemorrhage is a rare but increasingly documented cause of acute vertigo and deafness. In 1926, Voss reported a series of infants with perinatal distress and consequent hearing loss and dysequilibrium and in whom postmortem showed endolymphatic haemorrhage in the cochlear and
semicircular canals. Schuknecht reported similar autopsy findings in pancytopenic leukaemic patients with acute audiovestibular loss and Whitehead et al later reported labyrinthine haemorrhage in a patient with sickle cell disease. Labyrinthine haemorrhage has also been reported in association with antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy, cocaine ingestion and systemic lupus erythematosus. The diagnosis is made by imaging which shows hyperintense signal in the membranous labyrinth and cochlea on T2-weighted MRI with no change in signal on contrast administration. The hearing loss and vestibular canal paresis are usually severe and permanent.

AUTHORS’ NOTE

Imperial College Neuro-Otology Unit website: http://www.imperial.ac.uk/medicine/balance/research

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This article was reviewed by Richard Metcalfe, Glasgow, UK. BMS is supported by an Academy of Medical Sciences/Health Foundation Clinician Scientist Fellowship. AMB is supported by the Medical Research Council.

REFERENCES


32. Seemungal BM, Bronstein AM. Aminoglycoside toxicity: vestibular function is also vulnerable. BMJ 2007;335:952.


37. Lownie SP, Parnes LS. Isolated vestibulocochlear dysfunction of central or peripheral vascular origin. Laryngoscope 1991;101:1339–42.


Early Diagnosis and Management of Acute Vertigo from Vestibular Migraine and Ménière’s Disease

Barry Seemungal, BSc, MB BCh, PhD, FRCPa,*, Diego Kaski, MBBS, PhDa, Jose Antonio Lopez-Escamez, MD, PhDb

VESTIBULAR MIGRAINE
Case Scenario

A 46-year old woman presented with a 2-hour history of sudden onset and continuous vertigo (a feeling that her head was spinning) and severe nausea but mild occipital headache. During the previous 4 months, she noted weekly uncomfortable headaches occasionally accompanied by photophobia, bilateral tinnitus, and aural fullness. In her late teens to mid-20s, she suffered from monthly severe headaches with nausea, vomiting, and sometimes severe dizziness, lasting up to 2 days. On this occasion, the clinical examination was entirely normal, including a normal gait. MRI of the brain showed normal findings. She was treated with intravenous prochlorperazine and oral aspirin and discharged with a prescription of oral propranolol 20 mg twice a day with a plan for a clinic follow-up in 8 weeks.
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KEY POINTS
• Vestibular migraine (VM) is second only to benign paroxysmal positional vertigo as the most common cause of acute episodic vestibular symptoms.
• Ménière’s disease (MD) is uncommon; however, accurate diagnosis (or its exclusion) enables the correct management of patients with acute episodic vestibular symptoms.
• A focused neurologic examination can exclude other sinister causes such as stroke.
• Although most cases can be treated in the emergency room (ER), some patients may require a brief hospital admission to control symptoms.
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Prevalence and Pathophysiologic Mechanisms

VM has an estimated lifetime prevalence of approximately 3.2%, making it one of the most common vestibular disorders. The estimated 1-year prevalence is 0.89% across the population and 5% in women aged 40 to 54 years.

The current understanding and hypotheses underlying the pathophysiology of VM stem from genetic and in vitro studies, animal models, and human clinical studies of migraine. A key mechanism identified in migraine pathophysiology is activation of the trigeminovascular system. The labyrinthine vessels are innervated by trigeminal nerve terminals, which, combined with the presence of vasoactive neuropeptides in the perivascular afferent terminals of these trigeminal fibers, support the notion that the vascular, neuroinflammatory, and central neural mechanisms implicated in migraine are common to the central vestibular mechanisms and to the inner ear. This notion may explain why there exists a reciprocal interaction between peripheral vestibular deficits and VM.

Neuroanatomic regions implicated

Numerous brain regions and networks have been implicated in the pathogenesis of VM, including the posterior and anterior insula, orbitofrontal cortex, and the posterior and anterior cingulate gyri. The perceptual correlate of VM encompasses vestibular thalamocortical networks that produce perceptual responses to vestibular, visual, proprioceptive, and somatosensory afferent inputs. This cognitive-behavioral domain includes frontal and parietal cortical pathways related to premonitory symptoms associated with balance control.

Brainstem pathways have been implicated in the generation of somatic (e.g., vestibular ocular and vestibular spinal reflexes) and visceral vestibular sympathetic and parasympathetic motor responses. In the context of spontaneous migraine attacks, these vestibular sensorimotor responses seem to be modulated by the cerebellum. Functional neuroimaging techniques suggest that VM relates to abnormal brain sensitization leading to a dysmodulation of multimodal sensory integration and processing resulting in a vestibulothalamocortical dysfunction.

At the cellular level, it has been identified in animal models of migraine that activation of trigeminal ganglion innervation within cerebral and meningeal vasculature induces a trigeminovascular reflex-mediated vasodilation of meningeal vessels via the sphenopalatine ganglion. Similar events have been observed in the murine inner ear. The prevalence of VM suggests that multiple functional variants may confer a genetic susceptibility leading to a dysregulation of excitatory-inhibitory balance in brain structures involved in the processing of sensory information, vestibular inputs, and pain.

At present, there is no convincing evidence to explain how migraine could cause an apparent episodic inner ear or central vestibular dysfunction as observed during acute VM. Transient vasospasm of the blood supply to the inner ear (via the internal auditory artery) is one proposed hypothesis. Conversely, a transient episode of inner ear ischemia (from cerebrovascular disease) could trigger a migraine, a tenable possibility given the intimate link between the vestibular apparatus and the trigeminovascular system. Another explanation is the neurogenic hypothesis, for example, via a channelopathy that can manifest with migraines, and such syndromes are associated with episodic neurologic dysfunction. A channelopathy hypothesis, as a common underlying mechanism, could explain the epidemiologic link between migraine and MD, perhaps also explaining the symptomatic overlap between MD and VM.
Definitions and Diagnostic Criteria (Differentiation from transient ischaemic attack [TIA] + Approach to Initial Diagnosis)

The diagnosis of VM requires clinical suspicion. Recently, a consensus paper was published on the criteria for VM (Box 1). The consensus acknowledges that migraine may present with mainly vestibular symptoms and hence is called VM. The criteria recognize the bidirectional relationship between migraine and vertigo (see note h in Box 1), that is, acute vertigo of any origin can trigger migraine features, including headache. Hence, patients with a primary vestibular problem who are also migraineurs, could at first glance conform to the VM criteria.

An important differential diagnosis of acute VM is of a cerebellar stroke not involving the brainstem. Although VM may present in a myriad of ways, with examination signs mimicking an acute or central peripheral vestibular syndrome, the only real differential diagnosis of cerebellar stroke not involving the brainstem is an acute VM. Such strokes are typically embolic and present with thunderclap onset vertigo (often severe) with nausea, vomiting, and imbalance on walking, and half the cases have occipital headache. The examination in such cerebellar strokes may show a direction-changing nystagmus on gaze testing and/or a skew deviation (see article elsewhere in this issue), both features of a central lesion. Some cases of cerebellar stroke not involving the brainstem may present with little or no eye signs. The head impulse test is normal in 90% of cerebellar strokes. Irrespective of the head impulse test, gait ataxia is a red flag directing the clinician to perform emergency neuroimaging.

In a patient presenting with vertigo for the first time, it may not be possible to clinically differentiate between acute VM and an acute cerebellar stroke because both may present with severe vertigo, nausea, vomiting, posterior headache, gait ataxia, few ocular signs, and a preserved head impulse test. In such cases, immediate brain MRI should be performed and possibly repeated if the initial scan is normal and the clinical suspicion is high. A history of multiple similar episodes over a prolonged period is likely to represent VM and not stroke however, on first presentation, such patients should be investigated with neuroimaging if the clinical picture warrants it.

In conclusion, although a cerebellar stroke not involving the brainstem may present in a manner identical to VM, it can be reliably distinguished from an acute peripheral vestibular syndrome (see article elsewhere in this issue). Hence, it perhaps is most important to consider the red flags for investigating such cases. VM can present with signs of a peripheral vestibular lesion; however, the need for immediate investigation is less pressing. The diagnosis of VM can be definitively made in cases presenting with repeated episodes (that conform to the VM diagnostic criteria) whereby no other vestibular diagnosis is forthcoming and in whom there are no interictal abnormalities. In contrast, patients with MD typically display persistent sensorineural hearing loss and impaired peripheral vestibular function in the affected ear even between attacks.

Bedside and Laboratory Diagnostic Tests

History
In acute VM, there may be no headache complaint; however, if present, it is important to characterize the type of headache during the attack. In addition, the clinician should ask about previous headache history. The headache interview should include specific questions concerning location (unilateral in migraine), pulsating quality, moderate or severe intensity, aggravation by activity, and sensitivity to light and sound. Although visual symptoms may occur, one would not expect any sensory deficit,
Box 1
Vestibular migraine diagnostic criteria

1. Definite vestibular migraine
   a. At least 5 episodes with vestibular symptoms\(^a\) of moderate or severe intensity,\(^b\) lasting 5 minutes to 72 hours\(^c\)
   b. Current or previous history of migraine with or without aura according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD)\(^d\)
   c. One or more migraine features with at least 50% of the vestibular episodes\(^e\):
      i. Headache with at least 2 of the following: (1) one-sided location, (2) pulsating quality, (3) moderate or severe pain intensity, (4) aggravation by routine physical activity
      ii. Photophobia and phonophobia\(^f\)
      iii. Visual aura\(^g\)
   d. Not better accounted for by another vestibular or ICHD diagnosis\(^h\)

2. Probable vestibular migraine
   a. At least 5 episodes with vestibular symptoms\(^a\) of moderate or severe intensity,\(^b\) lasting 5 minutes to 72 hours\(^c\)
   b. Only one of the criteria b and c for vestibular migraine is fulfilled (migraine history or migraine features during the episode)
   c. Not better accounted for by another vestibular or ICHD diagnosis\(^h\)

---

\(^{a}\) Vestibular symptoms, as defined by the Barany Society’s Classification of Vestibular Symptoms\(^25\) and qualifying for a diagnosis of vestibular migraine, include (1) spontaneous vertigo including internal vertigo, a false sensation of self-motion, and external vertigo, a false sensation that the visual surround is spinning or flowing; (2) positional vertigo, occurring after a change of head position; (3) visually induced vertigo, triggered by a complex or large moving visual stimulus; (4) head motion-induced vertigo, occurring during head motion; and (5) head motion-induced dizziness with nausea. Dizziness is characterized by a sensation of disturbed spatial orientation. Other forms of dizziness are currently not included in the classification of vestibular migraine.

\(^{b}\) Vestibular symptoms are rated “moderate” when they interfere with but do not prohibit daily activities and “severe” if daily activities cannot be continued.

\(^{c}\) Duration of episodes is highly variable: About 30% of patients have episodes lasting minutes, 30% have attacks for hours, and another 30% have attacks for several days. The remaining 10% have attacks lasting seconds only, which tend to occur repeatedly during head motion, visual stimulation, or after changes of head position. In these patients, episode duration is defined as the total period during which short attacks recur. At the other end of the spectrum, there are patients who may take 4 weeks to fully recover from an episode. However, the core episode rarely exceeds 72 hours.

\(^{d}\) Migraine categories 1.1 and 1.2 of the ICDH.\(^26\)

\(^{e}\) One symptom is sufficient during a single episode. Different symptoms may occur during different episodes. Associated symptoms may occur before, during, or after the vestibular symptoms.

\(^{f}\) Phonophobia is defined as sound-induced discomfort. It is a transient and bilateral phenomenon that must be differentiated from recruitment, which is often unilateral and persistent. Recruitment leads to an enhanced perception and often distortion of loud sounds in an ear with decreased hearing.

\(^{g}\) Visual auras are characterized by bright scintillating lights or zigzag lines, often with a scotoma that interferes with reading. Visual auras typically expand from 5 to 20 minutes and last for less than 60 minutes. They are often, but not always, restricted to 1 hemifield. Other types of migraine aura, for example, somatosensory or dysphasic aura, are not included as diagnostic criteria because their phenomenology is less specific and most patients also have visual auras.

\(^{h}\) History and physical examinations do not suggest another vestibular disorder, such a disorder is considered but ruled out by appropriate investigations, or such disorder is present as a comorbid or independent condition, but episodes can be clearly differentiated. Migraine attacks may be induced by vestibular stimulation.\(^11\) Therefore, the differential diagnosis should include other vestibular disorders complicated by superimposed migraine attacks.
speech/language symptoms, or motor weakness in VM, although virtually any combination of transient neurologic features is possible as part of an acute migraine syndrome. Although hemorrhagic stroke is widely known to be associated with headache, it is less well recognized that occipital headache is a feature in one-third of acute posterior circulation ischemic stroke. Hence, the presence of acute headache does not immediately include a diagnosis of VM to the exclusion of stroke.

The examination
The examination (see article elsewhere in this issue) should include an assessment of cranial nerves, eye movements including the cover test, vergence, spontaneous and gaze-evoked nystagmus assessment, saccades, smooth pursuit, and the head impulse test. When the neurologic examination indicates central dysfunction, then immediate neuroimaging is indicated looking for brainstem or cerebellar pathology. If brain MRI shows normal findings and the clinical suspicion is high, then the patient should be clinically monitored and reimaged because early MRI can miss acute brainstem infarction.

Laboratory test
Blood glucose levels are determined.

Immediate Treatment Options
There are no robust clinical trial data for the immediate treatment of VM; however, treatment is primarily symptomatic and hence follows standard medical practice such as fluid replacement for vomiting (eg, intravenous saline) and antiemetics for nausea (prochlorperazine, metoclopramide, or cyclizine). It is advisable to avoid excessive sedation, which precludes reliable monitoring of the neurologic state. In patients in whom hemorrhagic cerebellar stroke has been excluded, a single enteral dose of aspirin, 900 to 1200 mg, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (eg, ibuprofen 400–800 mg) can be given for headache.

Triage and Disposition
Prophylaxis
In some patients, migraine triggers can be identified, such as lack of sleep or food, psychological stress, or certain foodstuffs (eg, chocolate or cheese). Lifestyle adjustments can reduce attack frequency by avoiding such triggers. In some patients, there are no obvious triggers, and when attacks are frequent, standard practice includes the use of a daily dose of an antimigraine drug to reduce the frequency of attacks. The evidence supporting the use of these drugs in VM is limited, but commonly used drugs include β-blockers (propanolol), tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline), antiepileptics (valproate, topiramate), and antiserotonergics (pizotifen).

Patients with poorly controlled VM often develop chronic maladaptive symptoms such as visually induced dizziness. The authors’ practice is to first treat these patients with antimigraine prophylactic drugs and then add vestibular rehabilitation exercises after if not fully recovered.

MÉNIÈRE’S DISEASE
Case Scenario
A 39-year-old man presented to the ER with severe vertigo with nausea and vomiting. Four hours previously he had woken to find that there was a fullness in his right ear. An hour later, there was a ringing noise in his right ear followed by a distortion of hearing on the right. He had a gradual build-up of feeling that he was spinning around. Eventually, he began to see the room spin around and started to vomit. He came to the ER.
The patient reported that he had 2 similar attacks during the past year. On this occasion, the examination showed a left beating third-degree vestibular nystagmus but with a normal head impulse test. Twenty minutes later, the patient was reexamined, but now the nystagmus was beating to the patient’s right. The patient was treated with parenteral prochlorperazine. His vertigo and nausea settled after 30 minutes. The patient was observed for a further 4 hours and then eventually allowed to go home with a 2-day supply of prochlorperazine.

**Prevalence and Pathophysiologic Mechanisms**

The prevalence of MD is 5 to 500 per 100,000 habitants, and familial MD is around 9% of cases. Human temporal bone studies have linked MD symptoms to the accumulation of endolymph within the cochlear duct (scala media) and the sacculus in the inner ear. It is thought that this endolymphatic hydrops begins with derangement of the ionic composition of the scala media. However, current data support the hypothesis that endolymphatic hydrops is an epiphenomenon associated with a variety of inner ear disorders, and familial clustering indicates that genetics and environmental factors contribute to its development.

**Definitions and Diagnostic Criteria (Differentiation from TIA + Approach to Initial Diagnosis)**

MD is characterized by recurrent attacks of spontaneous vertigo associated with hearing loss and tinnitus in the same ear. Aural fullness and headache can be found during the attacks. The International Classification for Vestibular Disorders Committee of the Barany Society has developed consensus diagnostic criteria with American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, European Academy of Otology & Neuro-Otology, Japan Society for Equilibrium Research, and the Korean Balance Society. These criteria define 2 categories: definite and probable MD (Box 2).

**Bedside and Laboratory Diagnostic Tests**

A national survey in the United States showed that only 26.9% to 46.7% of otolaryngologists relied on history, physical examination, and audiometry alone to diagnose MD. Adjunctive tests are thus helpful to support the clinical diagnosis, particularly given the high rates of misdiagnosis (mainly overdiagnosis) of MD. A focused eye movement examination should evaluate the presence of spontaneous and gaze-evoked nystagmus, the integrity of the vestibular ocular reflex

---

**Box 2**

**Ménière’s disease diagnostic criteria**

**Definite Ménière’s disease**

1. Two or more spontaneous episodes of vertigo, each lasting 20 minutes to 12 hours
2. Audiometrically documented low- to medium-frequency sensorineural hearing loss in the affected ear on at least 1 occasion before, during, or after one of the episodes of vertigo
3. Fluctuating aural symptoms (hearing, tinnitus, or fullness) in the affected ear
4. Not better accounted for by another vestibular diagnosis

**Probable Ménière’s disease**

1. Two or more episodes of vertigo or dizziness, each lasting 20 minutes to 24 hours
2. Fluctuating aural symptoms (hearing, tinnitus, or fullness) in the reported ear
3. Not better accounted for by another vestibular diagnosis
Positional maneuvers should be performed in any patient presenting with episodic dizziness to exclude benign paroxysmal positional vertigo.

A bedside assessment of hearing may be insufficient to identify hearing loss, and an audiogram should be obtained in any patient with suspected MD, including tympanometry. Hearing loss is usually in the low-frequency range, and MD can result in marked intolerance to loud sounds. Hearing and tinnitus fluctuate in the early stages of disease, but in the later stages they may become permanent.

Brain imaging, ideally with MRI, helps one to exclude secondary causes of Ménière’s syndrome and posterior fossa lesions that may present with progressive hearing loss and vertigo. Moreover, endolymphatic hydrops in Ménière’s disease may be visualized on high-resolution MRI after transtympanic gadolinium injection.

During electrocochleography (EChG), an evoked summating potential (SP) and action potential (AP) are recorded in response to click or tone burst stimuli recorded by an intratympanic or extratympanic electrode. In a large case series, increased SP/AP ratio (>0.4) was found in 72% of patients with a clinical diagnosis of MD, and the yield of the test increases with disease severity and duration. Although not widely available in routine clinical practice, EChG can be of use, particularly when there exists diagnostic uncertainty.

The cervical vestibular evoked myogenic potential (cVEMP) is a short latency inhibitory potential of the ipsilateral sternocleidomastoid muscle evoked by a brief and loud (>85 dB) monaural click or tone burst stimuli. The cVEMP is thought to be of saccular origin and mediated by the inferior vestibular nerve. Patients with MD have increased cVEMP thresholds or absent VEMPs compared with controls. Consistent with its otolithic basis, abnormal cVEMPs are more common in patients with Tumarkin crises and also seen in 27% of the contralateral asymptomatic ears of patients with MD.

The role of oculography in the diagnosis of MD is limited but may help differentiate MD from central causes of vertigo. Although pathologic canal paresis is present in 42% to 73% of patients with MD, complete loss of function is rare, and therefore, only a minority of patients have an impaired head impulse test. Caloric testing may help in the assessment of contralateral function before an ablative procedure, evaluation of postablative residual function, and identification of patients with preserved ipsilesional canal function where nondestructive treatment options may be preferred.

Immediate Treatment Options (Including Manipulative and Pharmacologic [Rehabilitative])

In an acute attack of MD, the treatment is symptomatic. Nausea and vomiting can be treated with standard antiemetics such as phenothiazines (prochlorperazine), antihistamines (cyclizine), and antimuscarinic drugs (scopolamine). It is reasonable to provide a benzodiazepine during the acute attack to alleviate anxiety.

Triage and Disposition

The natural history of Ménière’s disease is unpredictable, although typically unilateral at onset, whereby the frequency of attacks first increases, then decreases. Bilateral involvement is more common with increasing disease duration: 15% in the first 2 years, 35% after 10 years, and up to 47% after 20 years.

Various interventions are used to reduce the number of attacks or progression of audiovestibular failure in MD, although none have a strong evidence base. One intervention is dietary salt restriction (daily sodium intake of <2 g/d), which is thought to reduce the osmotic build-up of pressure in the endolymphatic compartment. Using
a similar logic, diuretics are also prescribed for MD, although a Cochrane review did not support their use.\textsuperscript{47} High-dose betahistine may have a prophylactic effect on the frequency of attacks of MD, at least in the first year,\textsuperscript{48} although its effect on vestibular and audiological function is unknown. Although the evidence remains scarce, systemic steroids should be considered in patients with a comorbid autoimmune condition especially if there is bilateral sensorineural hearing loss.\textsuperscript{49} There is also weak evidence that intratympanic dexamethasone may reduce attacks of Ménière’s disease and without significant systemic side effects.\textsuperscript{50,51} In patients in whom there is significant audiovestibular loss in the affected ear but with continuing severe attacks, subablative therapy with intratympanic gentamicin may be effective in curtailing attacks.\textsuperscript{34} Finally, the role of vestibular rehabilitation in the acute phase of the disease is controversial, given the fluctuating nature of vestibular symptoms in these patients. Nevertheless, there is evidence to suggest that vestibular rehabilitation across the spectrum of MD improves both subjective and objective balance function.\textsuperscript{52}

**When to refer**

Specialist referral is indicated in patients with clinically suspected MD for diagnostic purposes. Such patients may also require referral for consideration of preventative strategies, for medical and surgical intervention where symptoms are persistent or severe, and to ensure the involvement of a multidisciplinary team, including otolaryngologists, neuro-otologists, physiotherapists, and audiologists. Given the unpredictable and disabling nature of the condition, psychological support can play an important role in long-term management to improve quality of life.
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